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PRECIOUS GIFTS AND THE CIRCULATION OF OILS IN THE ANCIENT EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN

Ioannis Fappas

The purpose of this paper is to overview the general context in which the circulation of oils took place in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean, mainly on the basis of the Near Eastern written evidence on the subject. In addition to the above documents, the wide distribution of Mycenaean, Cypriot, and Canaanite oil vessels witnesses the significance of plain and aromatic oil in contemporary transactions. In this respect, it is attempted to evaluate two separate but possibly not irrelevant developments which took place in Mycenaean Greece: first the thorough organisation and systematic function of the palatial aromatic oil industry and secondly the wide circulation of large transport stirrup jars, mainly transporting olive oil from Crete to mainland palaces.

Inter-state relations in the Ancient Near East
The last centuries of the Bronze Age in the Eastern Mediterranean witnessed the culmination of an old and well-established system of inter-state relations, which existed in the Ancient Near East already in the third millennium BC. The existence of strong inter-state relations is well attested in the Amarna archive (discovered in 1887: Moran 1992), the Hittite archives in Boğazköy (discovered in 1906-1907: Laroche 1971; Hagenbuchner 1989) and the archives of the Syrian city-state of Ugarit (excavated in 1951-1957: Bordreuil/Pardee 1989). Following

* I would like to thank warmly Dr. Angelos Papadopoulos for inviting me to participate in the volume as well as Dr. Yannis Galanakis and Dr. Antonia Livieratou, who kindly offered to read the paper and comment on it.

Liverani 2000, 15. The period belongs to the so-called ‘Amarna Age’ that takes its name from the short-lived city of Amarna in Egypt. Amarna was built around the middle of the 14th century BC as the new capital city of the kingdom. Apart from defining its precise chronologi-
cal limits, the term is also used in a wider sense to refer to the entire Late Bronze Age in the area of the ancient Eastern Mediterranean, especially when dealing with international relations, since it was in the city’s state archival office that the famous 380 cuneiform letters of the royal correspondence between the kings of the great powers of the period were found. Earlier net-
works of inter-state interactions have been recognized for the ‘Ebla and Mari Ages’, in the 24th and 18th centuries BC respectively. See also Podany 2010, 19-187.
these discoveries, it has been further emphasized by new textual acquisitions from other sites (Singer 2008, 713-714). These documents reveal a network of interactions, which included merchant expeditions and diplomatic missions between the great powers of the period, comprising Egypt, Mitanni, Assyria, Kassite Babylonia, Hatti, Alalāia, and Arzawa. Diplomatic marriages and reciprocal exchanges of messages and gifts between the sovereigns of all these power-states constituted a significant part of such intense contacts, and contributed to a great extent to the creation and maintenance of common bonds and peaceful and friendly relations in the area of the Late Bronze Age Eastern Mediterranean. Together with the textual evidence, archaeological data recovered from various sites and the known shipwrecks of the area reveal an extremely wide circulation of artefacts and raw materials, indicating their movement along the long-distance trade routes of the period. In this respect, the Eastern Mediterranean around the end of the Bronze Age appears as an area of intensive commercial and diplomatic activity, which was conducted not only on an official, palatial, level but also privately. Mycenaean Greece, probably hosting the land of Ahhiyawa referred to in Hittite texts, seems to have also participated in this complex network of inter-relationships, as suggested by the quantities of orientalia (i.e. the imported items of eastern provenance found on Mycenaean ground: Lambrou-Phillipson 1990; Cline 1994 and 2007); the information obtained from the Linear B tablets featuring foreign linguistic elements and providing evidence for physical presence in the Mycenaean world of foreign population groups and individuals (Parker 1999; Nikoloudis 2008); the external references to sites of Mainland Greece and the islands (Bartoníček 1983; Cline 1987 and 1998; Cline/Stannish 2011); the Ugaritic textual evidence for the presence of Ahhiyawans on Anatolian ground; as well as

1 A good and representative collection of materials and goods exchanged in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean is presented in Stampolidis 2003, 226-593, passim.
1 For the Uluburun shipwreck, dated to the end of the 14th century: see Pulk 1997 and 2008; for the Cape Gelidonia and the Point Iria shipwrecks, dated one century later, see Bass 1967 and Phelps et alii 1999 respectively.
1 Liverani 2008. For recent and comprehensive studies on the subject see Parkinson/Galaty 2009, with all previous bibliographic references on the subject.
1 Bryce 2003; Dickinson 2009; Wiener 2009; Beckman et alii 2011.
1 See Singer (2006, 257-258) commenting on the RS 94.2523/RS 94.2530 tablets from the Urtenu archive in Ugarit, in which Ahhiyawan(s) are mentioned awaiting the ingot-laden Ugaritian ships at some port of Lukka, the classical Lycia, in the southwestern part of Anatolia. Compare also Bryce 2010, 51-52, favouring the presence of Aegean mercenaries rather than merchants in the area.
the quantity of Mycenaean pottery which was exported to sites of the Eastern Mediterranean together with other perishable goods and materials. As it is clearly witnessed in several Near Eastern cuneiform texts of the period, plain oil, deriving either from olives or sesame as well as various kinds of oil-based aromatics, was among the precious goods circulating widely within this international context of the ancient Eastern Mediterranean trade and diplomatic interconnections, either as part of the official gift exchange between the sovereigns of the great power-states or as a commodity shipped from place to place in exchange for other goods. This fact stresses even more the significance of the Mycenaean and Near Eastern palatial industries devoted to the manufacture of highly esteemed aromatics, as well as the importance and implications of the wide circulation of Mycenaean and Cypriot scented oil containers in the area of the ancient Eastern Mediterranean.

**Textual evidence on the prices of oil**

Of special importance for understanding the exchanges involving plain and scented oil in the Eastern Mediterranean of the 14th and 13th centuries are some of the cuneiform texts from Ugarit (Ras-Shamra), the capital of the homonymous kingdom on the Mediterranean coast of Syria, and Hattuša (Boğazköy), the impressive fort and capital of the Hittites in Anatolia (Güterbock 1997). The relevant texts from these two major centres are especially valuable for illuminating the

---

5 Leonard 1994. See also Cline 2007, 196-197 for an update of the evidence and further detailed bibliography.

6 Precious textiles, woven in the Mycenaean palatial industries, possibly constituted a large proportion of the Aegean exports to the ancient Near East. See Killen 1964, 14; Tzachli 2001, 169-171. Linear B epigraphic evidence supporting this hypothesis is primarily based on the word ke-se-me(n)-i-ri-gal, attested in the Knossos Ld 573, Ld 574, Ld 585 and Ld 649 tablets qualifying chitons (pa-u-we-a) and textiles (TELA).

7 The olive tree was cultivated especially in the areas around the Mediterranean contrary to sesame, which was a product used especially in Mesopotamia. For the cultivation of the olive tree and the use of olives and olive oil in the Ancient Near East according to the cuneiform texts see Güterbock 1968; Singer 1987; Hoffner 1994 and 1995; Heltzer 1996; Malal 1996; Frantz-Szabó 2003; Stol 2003; Jean 2005. For the respective Mycenaean evidence see Melena 1983; Bunimovitz 1996. For the history of cultivation of olives and production, use, and importance of olive oil in ancient Syria, Palestine, Greece, Cyprus, and Egypt see also Boardman 1976; Amouretti 1986; 1992; Meeks 1993; Hadjisavas 1996a; 1996b; 2003; Frankel 1997; 1999; Foxhall 2007. For the evidence about sesame and sesame oil see Schneider-Ludorff 1999, 402-404; Frantz-Szabó 2003; Stol 1985. Compare also Knapp 1991, 37.

8 Fappas 2008; 2010, passim; 2011, in press, where also further detailed bibliography.


high economic price of these products. An idea about the equivalent of plain oil when exchanged with other goods is at first given by KTU 4.150 (RS 15.040), a commercial text from Ugarit, which records the 'rate' of a relevant transaction, in which, for the acquisition of 5 jars of oil, namely 110 litres of the product (with a capacity of 22 litres per jar), it was required 10 items of an unknown good, represented by the word štp’s, or alternatively 20 lambs, whereas KTU 1.22 (RS 2.024), one of the Rapiuma texts from the same city, compares the importance of olive oil with that of silver and gold:

**KTU 4.150 (RS 15.040)**
1. 10 štp’s
2. for 5 (jars) of oil
3. 20 lambs
4. for five (jars) of oil
5. 5 ūtāt (measures) (Heltzer 1996, 88).

**KTU 1.22 (RS 2.024)**
... 14-15. Olive oil – like silver to travellers, ... –like gold to travellers. ... (Lewis 1997, 204).

On the other hand, aromatic oils had an ‘added’ value, because of the specific treatment and labour invested in their manufacture, as well as a special ‘symbolic’ value, because of the properties with which they were attributed and the occasions and ways in which they were destined to be used. As a consequence, they were valued at a high economic price. Thus, according to KBo 626+, which records Hittite laws establishing the prices of various commodities and their equivalencies in silver, one zipattani\(^\text{11}\) of “fine/good oil” (I-DUG.GA), namely a small amount of scented oil contained in a small bottle, costs two shekels of silver, while the same amount of lard and butter/ghee (I-SAH or I-NUN) costs one shekel. This high economic price is further stressed by the fact that scented oil was more expensive than many other goods: according to the same law and for one shekel of silver one could purchase one zipattani of honey, or two pieces of cheese, or three rackets, or two sheep, or six goats, or one unweaned calf\(^\text{12}\).

Another important indication of the high economic value of aromatic oils in the area of the ancient Eastern Mediterranean comes from the Ugaritic text RS 17.424C=397B, which records a letter sent by the king of Tyre, Addu-dayyana, ...
to the governor of Ugarit, U-zakaptu§. With this letter the king of Tyre protests against the exceptionally high tolls that his merchants had to pay to the ‘harbour-master’ Abdu, son of Ayyah: 100 shekels of silver for only 14 jars of oil (Nougarol 1956, 219; Arnaud 1996, 63). Unfortunately, there is no further information available on the oil’s quality or its provenance; but, according to D. Arnaud, the high taxes that its importers had to pay in the harbours of Syria and Palestine may indicate that the product was actually aromatised and possibly came from Egypt (Arnaud 1996, 63, no. 96). Moreover, it seems that some oils of specific provenance had a special fame, which made them more desirable. Indicative of this fact is a group of texts coming from Ugarit and referring to the big crisis between Ugaritans and Sidonians, as a consequence of the blasphemy committed by the citizens of Ugarit against the Storm-god of Sidon, probably by intruding in the holy of holies of his temple without authorization (Clemens 2001, 82). The group is articulated by the texts RS 18.054, RS 86.2208, RS 86.2221+ and RS 86.2234, according to which the enraged citizens of Sidon demand that the offenders, who are designated as ‘dogs’, should be stoned and impaled, while the king of Sidon would only be satisfied with expiatory offerings, including aromatic oil brought from Egypt, to all the gods of the kingdom, as well as with a considerable monetary compensation

**Textual evidence on the circulation of oil**

Apart from providing the information concerning the high estimation and value of plain and scented oil in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean, texts from Ugarit and Hattusa, together with those from the Egyptian city of Akhetaten (Amarna)§, also offer the epigraphic testimonies for the circulation of these products in the area at around the end of the Bronze Age. Contrary to the texts coming from these major Near Eastern centres, Mycenaean written evidence, in accordance to the general absence of direct references to trade, remains silent on the subject, allowing only for some minor and indirect information on the shipment of oil within the Aegean and to neighbouring countries to be revealed (Palaima 1991).

Regarding the Near Eastern textual evidence on the presence of oil in seafaring trading expeditions, valuable information is gained by the Ugaritic cuneiform tablets. Thus, according to the interpretation proposed by M. Heltzer, the Ugaritic inventory list **KTU 4.352** (RS 18.042) records the allotment of 660 jars of oil (14520 litres) possibly given in exchange for Cypriot ingots of lead (abur. altyy),

---

§ Singer (1999, 672) reads as ‘IM.DI.KUD and ‘U.ZA.DUGUD respectively.


° Akhetaten was built as the new capital of Egypt under the kingship of the ‘heretic’ Pharaoh Amenhotep IV (Akhenaten), and remained as such for a short period during the middle of the 14th century, until Tutankhamun returned to Thebes (Bryan 1997). For the period of El-Amarna see Kuhrt 1995, 194-204.
and another 130 jars of oil (2860 litres) for ingots of lead from Egypt ("abrm + nsrm"). Moreover, lines 7-11 of the same text seem to refer to certain individuals, possibly royal traders, who received large quantities of oil for foreign trade.

**KTU 4.352 (RS 18.042)**
1. 660 (jars) of oil at all
2. for the Alasiote abrm
3. 130 (jars) of oil
4. to the abrm of Egypt
...
7. 100 jars of oil for bn 'zmt of the village Riš
8. 100 (jars of oil) for Tlmyn, son of 'dy
9. x jars of oil (?) to the Ashdodite (or Ashdodites)
10. (x jars of oil) for Khln
...

The exceptionally large quantity of oil which is given, according to the above interpretation, in exchange of Cypriot ingots of lead was most probably destined to Cyprus. Some other considerable quantities of oil shipped from Ugarit and recorded in **RS 20.168**, a very fragmentary letter found in the Rap'ananu archive of the city, were also destined for Cyprus. The letter was sent by the king of Ugarit, Niqmaddu III (about the end of the 13th century BC) to his counterpart of Alasia, whom he addresses as ‘his father’ – a customary way of addressing one another used by the sovereigns of the great states of the ancient Eastern Mediterranean, which, together with ‘my brother’ and ‘my son’, is very often encountered in the letters they exchanged, thus revealing a commonly acknowledged and accepted hierarchy among the royal courts. Apart from the official palace trade concerning oil, Ancient Near Eastern texts also provide evidence for freelance commercial trade conducted by private merchants. Thus, the Ugaritic text **RS 34.167** presents a letter of Ur-Teslub, head of a large Ugaritan firm trading especially in the export of oil to Hatti and Egypt, to ‘his brother’, Ahi-Milku/Malki. The latter is asked to send 50 jars (DUG689 GAL) of [oil?], 30 shekels of silver and one talent (= ca. 30 kg) of copper in exchange

---
18 Heltzer 1996, 84, 89. The editor of the text, C. Virolleaud (1965, 117), refers to two persons, the “Abrm the Alasite” and the “Abrm of Egypt” as recipients of the oil quantities. For the same reading see also McGeough 2007, 166.
19 Nougayrol 1968, 80-83; Singer 1999, 677, 692. For an overview of the trade and diplomatic relationships between Ugarit and Cyprus (Alasia) see Buchholz 1999; Singer 1999, 676-678, 720-721.
20 Singer 1999, 720. For the ways of addressing each other used by the sovereigns of the ancient Near Eastern states, encountered in the letters they exchanged, see Liverani 1990, 197-202.
21 Much of the trade in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean was carried out by individuals acting on their own interest and often organized into business firms. See Foster 1987, 14, with additional references.
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of several items, including purple-dyed wool and a talent of (dried) fish, both typical products of Tyre (Singer 1999, 671). A similar transaction between private citizens is recorded in KTU 5.10 (RS 17.063), where a brother sends an unknown commodity and a piece of linen to his sister and requests from her 10 ḫg of olive oil (šinnu) and 3 ḫg of scented oil (rēḫ) in return. The case is quite problematic since it is not clear if the transaction happened between siblings or partners, who describe their parity using this terminology. In any case it could be a simple reciprocal exchange, in which the goods are being transferred without any economic obligations, but the text should rather be ascribed to the documents dealing with international trade, in which case the oils are requested in exchange for other goods (McGeough 2007, 321).

The Amarna-tablets on the exchange of (scented) oil

As it is evident from the texts examined so far, apart from plain oil, a large proportion of oil circulation in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean was devoted to aromatic oils, and various texts of the period reveal that this also happened on the highest level of Near Eastern societies. Thus, the Annals of Tuthmosis III (1490-1436 [1479-1425] BC) record the goods brought as booty by the Pharaoh to Egypt following his military victories in Syria, among which one finds ‘sweet’ and ‘green’ oils. The Papyrus Anastasi IV.15:1-5 also lists various aromatic oils from Alāšia, Hatti, Syria-Palestine, and Babylonia brought to Egypt to be used in order to anoint the army and chariots of Seti II (1200-1194 BC). Of exceptional importance for understanding the circulation of aromatic oils in the Ancient Near East are the Amarna cuneiform tablets. The Amarna tablets record the letters exchanged as diplomatic correspondence between the Egyptian Pharaohs and the sovereigns of the foreign power-states trading with Egypt more or less on a basis of equality (Babylonia, Assyria, Mitanni, Arzawa, Alāšia, and Hatti); and between the Pharaohs and the local rulers of Syria-Palestine which were at the time under Egyptian control (Moran 1992, xvi; Izre’el 1997). The letters actually accompanied the missions of ambassadors sent from one royal court to the other, transferring messages between the sovereigns and carrying wealthy gifts. The texts, which with a few exceptions are written in Akkadian⁵, cover a time span of about 30 years – from around the thirteenth year of Amenophis III (1373 [1360] BC) to no later than the first year of Tutankhamun (1345 [1336] BC), in the later part of the eighteenth dynasty (Moran 1992, xxxiv). Their importance lies in the fact that they provide considerable information regarding the

---

⁵ The word denotes precisely ‘perfumer’s oil’. See Del Olmo Lete/Samartin 2003, 828.
⁶ Knapp 1991, 31. For the Annals of Tuthmosis III see also Liverani 1990, 255-266, esp. 258; Kuhrt 1995, 321-322. The rite of anointing weapons, chariots, and horses with plain and aromatic oil or unguent, is also known from Hattuša, Nuzi, and Nippur. See Fappas 2010, 137, 204, 223, where detailed bibliography.
⁷ Akkadian was the language of the Assyrians and Babylonians and the international diplomatic language of the period.
international diplomatic relations between the great powers of the ancient Eastern Mediterranean in an advanced stage of the 2nd Millennium BC. At the same time, they reveal various customs and practices followed in the royal courts of the Ancient Near East.

The exchange of aromatic oils together with other precious goods is at first described in **EA 14**, which is addressed by Amenophis IV (1364-1347 [1352-1336] BC) to the king of Babylon, Burna-Buriyaš II (1359-1333 BC):

**EA 14**

I

[These things Naphuru]rea, Great King, [king of Egypt, s]ent [to his brother, Burna]-Buriyaš, [Great King, king of Karaduniyaš.]

... 32. [x kukkanbuki-containers, of gold], filled with *[sw]eet-[oil]*, (called) namša.

... 36-37. 15 containers of oil, [of gold], inlaid. 1 'cucumber' [that is] an oil-con

... tainer, of gold, inlaid. ... 61. 1 small cont[ain]er (of aromatics), of gold, ...

II

... 8. 1 small container (of aromatics), of gold ... 50; 23 kukkanbuki-containers, of silver, full of 'sweet-oil'; namša is its name. ... 53. 1 ladle, of silver, for an oil container, *wa[dha] is its name. ... 69. 1 small cont[ainer] (of aromatics), of silver], ...

III

... 34-45. 1 stone *huttu-jar*, full of 'sweet oil', (called) *azida*. 19 stone jars, full of 'sweet oil'; *kubu* is its name. 20 stone jars, (called) akuru, which are full of 'sweet oil'. 9 kukkanbuki-containers, of stone, full of 'sweet oil'; namša is its name. 1 'cucumber,' of stone, full of 'sweet oil'. 6 large stone vessels, full of 'sweet oil'.

[x] kukkanbuki-containers, of stone, full of 'sweet oil'; muzziqa is its name. [x] jugs, of stone, full of 'sweet oil'; *kuha* is its name. [x] kukkanbuki-containers, of stone, full of 'sweet oil'; *kuba-pawanah* is its name. [x] kukkanbuki-containers, of stone, full of 'sweet oil'; kaihku is its name. [x] jars, full of 'sweet oil'; *ašša* is its name. [The t]otal of the stone vessels full of 'sweet oil': [x]00 and 7 vessels.

IV

5-8. 29 “cucumbers,” containers of oil, of *stained* ivory. 44 containers of oil, decorated with apples, pomegranates, dates, (and) kurumunu, of *stained* ivory, [...]

called [-][q. ... 14-16. 3 oxen, containers of oil, of *stained* ivory. 3 ibexes, containers of oil, of stained ivory. 1 small container (of aromatics), of *stained* ivory; [... (Moran 1992, 28-34, 35, no. 9).

Another interesting case is recorded in **EA 34**, where the king of Alašia asks the Pharaoh to excuse him for the fact that he omitted to send him a messenger to be present in the sacrifices performed by the latter. Thus the king of Alašia finds the opportunity to ask the Egyptian sovereign to send him some presents, including aromatic oils. Moreover, he complains that the Pharaoh had omitted to send him oil in the past. Diplomatic correspondence between the two kingdoms continued in the following years, and, in a second letter to the Pharaoh (**EA 35**), the king of
Alašia sent him 500 talents of copper and asked for aromatic oils and other gifts in return.

**EA 34**

... 16-25 ... Moreover, may your messengers now bring some goods: ... 17 habannatu-jars of ‘sweet oil’... 42-49 ... Moreover, why have you not sent me oil and linen? ... 50-53 I herewith send a habannatu-jar [that] is full of ‘sweet oil’ to be poured on your head, seeing that you have sat down on your royal throne (Moran 1992, 106).

**EA 35**

... 23-26 ... Moreover, my brother, give me the ox that my messenger requests, my brother, and send me, my brother, 2 kukkibu-containers of ‘sweet oil’, my brother, and send me one of the experts in vulture augury... (Moran 1992, 107, 109, no. 6).

An important contribution of the Amarna texts to our understanding of the period’s international diplomatic relations is the revelation that a significant part of them entailed diplomatic marriages between members of the royal families of the great kingdoms. Marriages offered the opportunity for extensive exchange of wedding gifts between the contributing parties. An interesting case concerns Kešu-Heba, daughter of the king of Mitanni, Šuttarna II, who was sent to Egypt to become wife of Amenophis III (1403-1364 [1390-1352] BC). At a later time, the son and successor of Šuttarna, Tušratta, with a letter to Amenophis III (EA 17), sent to his Egyptian counterpart a great range of gifts with the aim to keep friendly relations with him, while not forgetting his sister and Pharaoh’s wife, to whom, together with all other gifts, he sent a container full of aromatic oil.

**EA 17**

... 41-45. And as the greeting-gift of Kešu-Heba, my sister, I send her 1 set of gold toggle-pins, 1 set of gold (earrings), 1 gold maššu-ring, and a scent container that is full of ‘sweet oil’... (Moran 1992, 42).

Because of this first intermarriage, special relations between Egypt and Mitanni later developed, which were further confirmed by a second marriage: this time between the daughter of Tušratta, Tadu-Heba, and Amenophis III. In this occasion the two sovereigns exchanged various precious gifts, and, as EA 22, sent by Tušratta to Amenophis III, witnesses, various kinds of scented oils were among the gifts accompanying Tadu-Heba to Egypt.

**EA 22**

III ...

29-36. 1 scent container, with myrrh-scented oil; 1 scent container with sikil-oil. 1 scent container with iarutru-oil. 1 scent container with myrtle oil. 1 scent
container with kanatku-oil. 1 scent container with elder-oil. 1 scent container with styx-oil. 1 scent container with persänti-oil. 1 scent container … 1 scent container with a mixture (of various) oils. 10 kirru-pots, full of ‘sweet oil’.

IV

… 43-49. It is all of these wedding-gifts, of every sort, that Tušratta, the king of Mitanni, gave to Nimmureya, the king of Egypt, his brother and his son-in-law. He gave them at the same time that he gave Tadu-Heba, his daughter, to Egypt and to Nimmureya to be his wife (Moran 1992, 55, 57).

The exchange of gifts between Tušratta and the Egyptian Pharaoh did not end with this shipment, as revealed by ten more letters sent by the king of Mitanni to his Egyptian counterpart. In three of these letters (EA 25, 26, 27) it is said that among the gifts exchanged between the two parties aromatic oils were also included. First, the long letter EA 25 comprises a catalogue of precious gifts that Tušratta probably sent to Amenophis III. Amongst them one finds various aromatic oils and unguments. Similarly, a considerable amount of aromatic oils accompanied the letter EA 26 – this time addressed to the wife of Amenophis III, Tiye. There is also another letter by Tušratta (EA 27), this time addressed to Amenophis IV personally, with which Tušratta sent to members of the Egyptian royal family various gifts, including aromatic oils.

EA 25

II

… 43-51. 1 ointment receptacle; its rettu of …-stone; the handle a figure of alabaster. 1 ointment receptacle; its rettu of alabaster; its handle a … [ …, overlaid with gl]|l|d]; 2 genuine lapis lazuli stones [are set] in the centre. 1 ointment receptacle; its rettu of abašmu-stone; its handle a sf[wall]low overlaid with gold; one genuine lapis lazuli stone [is set] in the centre. 1 ointment receptacle; its rettu of marshallu-stone; its handle [a pan]ther [overlaid with] gold. It is set here [and there] with lapis lazuli and alabaster. 1 ointment receptacle; its rettu overlaid with gold; its handle a Delugue-monster overlaid with gold.

IV

… 51-55. 1 scent container, with myrrh-scented oil. 1 scent container, with kanatku-oil. 2 scent containers, with sikil-oil. 1 scent container, with elder-oil. 2 scent containers, with myrtle oil. 1 scent container, with persänti-oil. 1 scent container, with elder-oil. 1 (scent container), with myrtle oil. 10 kirru-pots that are full of ‘sweet oil’ … (Moran 1992, 76, 80).

EA 26


EA 27

… 112-113. [1 scent container] fil|led| with “sweet [o]il”; 1 set of stones
mount[ed] on gold – for Teye, your mother. I send [1 scent container] fil[led with
’sweet oil’]; 1 s[et] of stones [mounted] on gold – [for] Tadu-Heba, [my daugh-
ter, y]our wife (Moran 1992, 89).

Similarly to the information provided by the Amarna letters, Hittite texts docu-
ment the desire of kings to acquire aromatic oils as well as the subsequent circu-
lation of these products between the royal courts. This desire can at first be
deduced by KBo 18.2 – a letter possibly addressed by the Great King Tudhaliya
IV (1239-1209 BC) to his mother. In the letter, he reports that there was no aro-
matic oil left to anoint himself20. A quite similar situation is described in KBo
1.14, a letter sent by Hattušili III (1275-1245 [1264-1239] BC) to an important
addressee, most probably to the Assyrian king Shalmaneser I (1274-1245 [1263-
1234] BC), as a response to a previous request of the latter for Hittite iron dag-
gers. In this, Hattušili complains to the Assyrian sovereign that he omitted to send
him the proper presents on the occasion of his initiation into the throne, among
which ceremonial garments and aromatic oil would also be included (Goetze
1940, 31-33. See also Hoffner 1995, 111; Kümmel 1967, 29).

KBo 18.2
Rev.
“… 6-7. See, I have no fine oil to anoint myself. …”

KBo 1.14
Rev.
[.................................] your […]
[................................. the] great king, the king of the country Karduniyaš.
[.................................] he sent you.
[.................................] to you. Did not [my father] send the proper presents
to you? (But) when I assumed kingship,
you did not send me an ambassador. Still, it is customary that kings
assume [kingship, and the kings, his peers, send him
the proper [pres]ents (on that occasion, a royal gown,
fine [oil] for anointing.
But you did not do such a thing today (Goetze 1940, 29).

Exchange in the Mycenaean world
While the above international correspondence took place in the Ancient Near
East, and oil and aromatic oils were highly desirable goods, being among the pre-
cious gifts sent from one royal court to the other and used in various other eco-
nomic transactions, the Aegean witnessed two separate but possibly intercon-

20 Hagenbacher 1989, 204ff.; Hoffner 2009, 327-329. For the use of aromatic oils by the
kings in the Ancient Near East: see Fappas 2010, 136, 140, 148-149, 152, 213-214, 299, 302-
306, 309, where also further and detailed bibliography.
nected developments: 1) the thorough organisation and systematic function of a palatial aromatic oil industry (Shelmerdine 1985; Foster 1974; 1977); and 2) the large-scale circulation of transport stirrup jars, large oil containers transporting olive oil from Crete mainly to Mainland Greece but also to various other destinations in the Central and Eastern Mediterranean9. At the same time, Cyprus had similarly a considerable production and export of olive oil10 as well as a large-scale export of aromatics especially to Egypt11, whereas oil from the region of Syria and Palestine was distributed to the Aegean, Egypt, and the rest of the Eastern Mediterranean in the so-called Canaanite jars12.

The focused movement of the large transport stirrup jars from Crete to the mainland palaces, at least as far as the inscribed pieces are concerned (Palaima 1984), and, at a second stage, their circulation between the palatial centres13, has been interpreted by J. Wright as the most plausible archaeological indication for the supposed establishment and maintenance of reciprocal ties between the heads of palatial centres (Wright 1995, 74). Moreover, it seems that the coincidence of these two developments in Mycenaean Greece may not have been accidental, since the “exotic” plain oil brought to Mycenae or Thebes from a long distance may have been considered a very appropriate raw material to supply the aromatic oil industries of the mainland palaces14, thus offering an ‘added’ value to their final scented products15. However, although Mycenaean Linear B tablets provide ample evidence for the manufacture of a variety of aromatic oils, they do not make any reference to the

---

9 For the production of olive oil in Late Bronze Age Crete see Riley 2002; Blitzer 1993. For the trade in large transport stirrup jars in Late Bronze Age Aegean and Central and Eastern Mediterranean see especially Haskell 2005; Zurbach 2006; Van Alfen 2008; Fappas (forthcoming), where also further bibliography on the subject. For a useful summary of the evidence see Palmer 2003, 130-132.

10 For the olive oil production in Late Bronze Age Cyprus see Hadjisavvas 1996a; 1996b; 2003. Many large pithoi from Kalavasos-Ayios Dimitrios may indicate its presence and use in Cyprus during those times. See Karageorghis 2002. Large pithoi and storage jars, as were those found in the Uluburun and Pont Iria shipwrecks, may have served to the export of Cypriot oils. See Lolos 1999, 44; Pulak 2008, 296.


12 Analysis of the residues in Canaanite jars found in Egypt revealed that these vessels were used to transport oil, wine, and resin (Serpico et alii 2003). Important information on the distribution of these vessels in the Aegean is included in Negbi/Negbi 1993; Leonard 1996. For a provenance study of these vessels see Ownby/Smith 2011.

13 Large transport stirrup jars are generally thought to have been vessels serving the transport and storage of oil. However, they could have been used for the transport and storage of other stuff too, being as diverse as the different types of seeds or the faience and stone beads contained in the KW 1198 vessel from the Uluburun shipwreck. See Bass/Pulak 1989, 11.

14 Haskell 1999, 342. This view may also be in accordance with J.L. Melena’s suggestion, based on the figures appearing in the Linear B tablets from Knossos which show an emphasis on the production of oil from wild rather than domesticated olives, that oil produced from wild olives is more suitable for the manufacture of perfumes and unguents. See Melena 1983, 102, 117.

15 See also Renfrew 1993, 9-10; Knapp 1998, 198, 204; Fappas (forthcoming).
circulation of these products in the wider Aegean and Eastern Mediterranean context, in spite of the fact that these palatial products may have been the commodities *par excellence* which Mycenaean palaces are likely to have exported (Killen 1985, 264). Around the same time, small Aegean aromatic oil and unguent storage vessels, especially stirrup jars and *alabastra*¹⁵, form the most well attested Mycenaean shapes known from Anatolia¹⁶, Cyprus¹⁷, Syria-Palestine¹⁸, and Egypt¹⁹. The only possible indication concerning the Mycenaean distribution of oil comes from the palace of Knossos. It shows a probable interest in the Cypriot market – a conclusion based on the interpretation of the term *ku-ri-jo*, which is encountered in the Linear B tablets of the palace of Knossos. This term may denote an official connected to its aromatic oil industry, being possibly responsible in managing oil trade with Cyprus²⁰.

This obvious imbalance between Mycenaean archaeological and epigraphic evidence concerning trade and, therefore, the circulation of oil is difficult to explain, and various attempts have been made to this direction. The subject has been treated at some length by J.T. Killen, who sets out the possible reasons for this paucity of evidence: trade was a marginal, seasonal activity and was therefore not recorded in the Linear B tablets, or the records are not identifiable in the archives of the palaces, or the tablets recording it have not survived. However, he concludes that none of these explanations seems adequate or reliable (Killen 1985, 262-268).

On the other hand, T.G. Palaima adds to the discussion the possibility that international trade was in the hands of private entrepreneurs independent of the palaces²¹, and C.W. Shelmerdine supports the view that Cypriots and Canaanites were the likely conductors of much of this trade, even if they sometimes worked for other powers (Shelmerdine 1998, 293).

This last view seems to be further reinforced by the fact that merchants of Aegean origin are totally absent not only from the Mycenaean Linear B tablets, but also from the archives of Ugarit, which certainly played a central role in the circulation of oils and other goods in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean²² and in their fur-

¹⁶ Mountjoy 2006, 245.
²¹ Palaima 1991a, 288. See also Vianello 2011, 417.
ther distribution to Anatolia and Syria-Palestine\textsuperscript{3}. This central role is highlighted further by the picture that emerges from Ugarit, where merchants, from Ugarit and other regions (including Hittite Cilicia and Alasia), formed clearly distinguished groups (\textit{tankirs}) that figure prominently in the city’s royal archives. Given this fact, it is curious that all these texts do not include any single reference to an Aegean merchant operating there. In addition, none of the hundreds of names encountered in the texts of Ugarit is of a clear Aegean origin, in contrast to the evidence for the presence of countless other foreigners in the city, as, for example, Egyptians, Canaanites, ‘Mesopotamians’, Alasiotes, and Hittites\textsuperscript{4}. Thus, even though it is difficult to exclude the people from the Aegean from international seafaring activities in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean\textsuperscript{5} (not least because of the long-standing Aegean maritime tradition)\textsuperscript{6}, the available textual records appear to suggest that merchants from Cyprus\textsuperscript{7}, Ugarit (Soiles 2005), and the rest of Syria-Palestine were the main distributors of oils in the region during the last centuries of the Bronze Age\textsuperscript{8}. These might have also been the people controlling the international trade of the period and conducting the various transactions recorded in the texts. Their operations were either controlled by the palaces (\textit{palace-based trade}) or independently (\textit{freelance trade}), simultaneously being involved in the official \textit{gift exchange} and in private ventures aiming to profit \textit{(commercial trade)}\textsuperscript{9}. These people are often referred to in the texts alternatively


\textsuperscript{4} Kuhat 1995, 302; Singer 1999, 675. The international relations of Ugarit in this period are testified to the references to the great kingdoms of the area, as for example to Egypt (\textit{Mer}) and Alasia (\textit{albt}), found in the clay tablets of its royal archives, as well as by the references to Ugarit in the cuneiform tablets from Bogazköy and El-Armana. The intensive contacts with the neighbouring contemporary civilizations and the cosmopolitan character of Ugarit are also attested by the great variety of languages in which its texts are written: Ugaritic, Akkadian, Samarian, Hurrian, Egyptian, Hittite, hieroglyphic Hittite, Cypro-Minoan, and Phoenician. For the archives of Ugarit see Pardee 1997; Pedersen 1998, 68-80.

\textsuperscript{5} See also Cline 1995b, 273-274; Haskell 2004, 158, 159.

\textsuperscript{6} Papageorgiou 2008; Ward 2010, with additional bibliographic references.

\textsuperscript{7} For the Cypriot mediation in the export of ceramics from the Aegean to the Levantine coast see Hirschfeld 1996, 2004.

\textsuperscript{8} Bass 1967, 163-167; Bryce 2010, 49-50. A possible Hittite-imposed embargo on the Mycenaean commercial activity, as has been proposed by E.H. Cline, could perhaps offer a reasonable, though partial, explanation for the absence of people from the Aegean in the textual records of the period. See Cline 1991 and Bryce 2010, 50 commenting on this issue.

\textsuperscript{9} Foster 1987, 14-15; Peltenburg 1991, 167-170; Knapp 1993, 339; Knapp/Cherry 1994, 142, 144, 147-148, 151; Cline 1994, 85. The complexity of international exchanges in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean is reflected in the three known shipwrecks of the period, each of them representing a different mode in the circulation of goods and materials. The three of them sank while in action, thus offering a live picture of the sea-borne activities conducted under different agents and for different purposes. This is also in relation to the circulation of oils, since all three seagoing ships carried in their cargo from four up to ten large transport stirrup jars, the special Mycenaean vessels for the bulk transport of oil. See Bass 1987, 715; Hennessy/du Plat Taylor 1967, 124 n. 23, 24; Bass 1991, 69-70, 71; Vichos/Lolos 1997, 325-
as ‘messengers’ or ‘tradesmen’ – terms that reveal that they were similarly involved in trade and diplomatic activities\(^\text{326}\). At least some of them were certainly engaged in trade with Crete and Mainland Greece (Caubet 1982), as, for example, Sinarana, the son of Siginu, a royal merchant ("personal tankārī" of the king of Ugarit), whom, upon his return from a voyage to Kapturi (Crete), the king of Ugarit Ammistamru II (second half of the 13th century) exempted from taxes, as recorded in the legal document RS 16.238 (Heltzer 1988, 9-13).

Ugaritic texts are certainly valuable in providing information about the complex character of Late Bronze Age trade in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean, the merchants (sum. DAM-GAR, akk. tankārī) who were active in the area and the various duties with which they were entrusted. Thus, they reveal that Ugaritic merchants were actually royal servicemen and were divided into two categories: the personal tankārī of the king (\(\text{šu-sī-} \text{tankārē ša } \text{sepe-šu} = \text{‘the tankars of his feet’}\)) and the tankārīs who conducted foreign trade and used to pay to the palace tribute or tax from their trading operations (\(\text{šu-sī-} \text{tankārē ša mandattī ša šar}\)\(^\text{327}\) Ugarit = "the mandattu tankars of the King of Ugarit"). Heltzer 1988, 8). To the first group belonged Sinarana, having himself several privileges and being employed by the king for various confidential purposes (Heltzer 1988, 9-13).

On the other hand, a good representative of the Late Bronze Age independent merchant entrepreneurs who also had diplomatic duties is Yabnīnu, a high-status person in the city of Ugarit, resident of the so-called Palais sud, which lies directly to the south of the palace and was constructed in a similar monumental fashion with it (Pedersén 1998, 76-77; Yon 2006, 51-55). The corpus of documents found there, sixty Akkadian, five Ugaritic, and two Cypro-Minoan, reveal without doubt the international character of its resident’s activities. Yabnīnu was actually an independent and wealthy commercial agent, deeply engaged in international long-distance trading ventures, and, at the same time, involved in important diplomatic missions as representative of the royalty of Ugarit. Moreover, numerous texts demonstrate that he also had access to significant amounts of resources, which he had probably obtained from his trading activities. For example, KTU 4.91 (RS 11.795) records 1400 jars of oil, 600 jars of aromatic oil (\(\text{šinn. } \text{raḫ}\)), and numerous other specialised products, given by him as a ‘gift’ to the palace, whereas in KTU 4.158 (RS 15.062) the palace seems to give him a number of products, including olive oil, probably in order for him to distribute or trade them on its behalf\(^\text{328}\). The amount of plain and aromatic oil presented by Yabnīnu to the palace is really surprising. It was possibly acquired through his trading expeditions and the

\(^{326}\) Lolo 1999, 45 for the transport stirrup jars from the Uluburun, Cape Gelidonya, and Point Iria wrecks respectively.
\(^{328}\) McGeough 2007, 333-335; Singer 1999, 677. For an important but unsuccessful diplomatic mission of Yabnīnu to Ammurru, recorded in RS 34.124 from Ugarit, see Pardee 1977.
transactions in which he was involved. Moreover, a possible source of the oils he had in his possession may have been the gifts he had received in the various royal courts he had already visited. Namely, it seems that ‘tradesmen’ or ‘messengers’ of this kind were usually welcomed by kings to their courts and were furnished with various kinds of gifts, among which oil, plain or aromatic. A case like this is recorded in a text from Amarna, sent by Amenophis III to ‘his brother’, the Babylonian king Kadašman-Enlil ([1374]-1360 BC):

EA 1
“... 62-77; ... Now, we are brothers, you and I, but I have quarrelled because of your messengers, since they report to you saying, “Nothing is given to us who go to Egypt”. Those who come to me – has a single one of them ever come [and not] received silver, gold, oil, solemn garb, every sort of finery, [more than I]n any other country? ...” (Moran 1992, 2).

This long-established custom of exchanging precious goods between hosts and guests seems to have been followed also in Mycenaean palaces, and it is interesting that, according to the Linear B tablets, aromatic oils were among the goods used for this purpose. The relative reference qualifies an amount of oil as ke-se-ni-wi-jo, /xeNFiom/ (gift of hospitality) and it is to be found in Fr 1231 from the palace of Pylos. This characterisation, denoting oil destined to guests, is directly connected with the official exchanges of gifts10, an old remembrance of which is later mirrored in the lively narrations of the Homeric epics (Hooker 1989).

PY Fr 1231
S1202 H2
.1 po-ti-ni-ja, di-p[(-s)]-jo-i, te[
.2 ke-se-ni-wi-jo[ ]OLE S 1[

Conclusion
The purpose of this paper was to offer an overview of the general context in which the circulation of oils took place in the ancient Eastern Mediterranean, mainly based on the Near Eastern documentation on the subject. It thus appears that the development of industries exclusively devoted to the manufacture of aromatic oils and unguents by the Mycenaean palaces was probably not irrelevant to the ‘current trend’ of the period especially with regard to the acquisition and use of these highly esteemed and precious goods, as can be seen from the extant international royal correspondence. It seems that, by investing in the production and export of desirable aromatic oils, Mycenaean elites tried to exploit the markets of

10 Bennett 1958, 59; Gérard-Rousseau 1968, 129-131; Shelmerdine 1985, 79-80. The same word qualifying oil is to be found in quite alternated form (ke-se jnu-wi-jo) also in Fr 1255 from the same palace, while ke-se-nu-wi-ja/ke-se-ne-wi-ja qualify chitons (pa-we-a) and textiles (TELA) in KN Ld 573, Ld 574, Ld 585 and Ld 649 from Knossos.
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the Eastern Mediterranean and, simultaneously, to participate in the network of interactions with other contemporary elites[^2]. Their choice does not appear to be arbitrary, since items of this kind were widely used in everyday life and formed an essential part of the ritual performed by priests, kings, or even common people in the Ancient Near East (Kutsch 1963; Fappas 2010). However, after their manufacture in palaces, Mycenaean aromatic oils can only be tracked down, thanks to their alleged containers, at contexts, where they were destined for, while a huge and crucial gap concerning the nature and procedures of the relevant transactions remains in between. It is possible that their dispatch from the palaces was entrusted to high officials, such as ku-pi-ri-jo, and that private merchants were involved in their distribution, though this remains purely an argumentum ex silen- tio due to the total absence of the relevant Mycenaean documents. Whether they were of local or foreign origin is still uncertain, but it should be taken into account that Mycenaean may equally have had a share in these expeditions, even if not always using their own ships[^3].
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