THE THRACIAN FUNERARY RITES (HER. 5.8)
AND SIMILAR GREEK PRACTICES

A. Petropoulou

The only comprehensive evidence we possess of Thracian "Totenkult" is Herodotos' brief account of the Thracian funerary rites (5.8). The last honors to be paid to the dead are funeral games of which Herodotos mentions only the μονομαχία. These kinds of games are attested for several Indo-European nations beginning with the Greeks. The earliest source for funeral games are the Homeric epics, in which they occur several times. In this paper, I shall discuss the text of Herodotos to argue that the Thracian μονομαχία as well as all the other mortuary practices closely resemble the funerary ritual that ends with the άθλα ἐπί Πατρόκλῳ in the Iliad. As I shall point out further, the rites attested by Herodotos for the Thracians diverged from contemporary Greek customs which were several centuries removed from the Homeric epics. The burial practices of the Thracians were actually closer to those observed in Macedonia, which we know about, however, from sources other than Herodotos. Among these are funeral games involving a μονομαχία. The chariot races or chariots depicted by themselves that occur in Hellenistic paintings from Macedonian and Thra-

1. The following works will be cited in abbreviated form: Manolis Andronikos, Totenkult, Archaeologia Homerica III W (Göttingen 1968); Ludmila Jivkova, Le tombeau de Kazanlak, trans. by Dr S. Tsonev (Sofia 1974); G.S. Kirk, The Songs of Homer (Cambridge 1962); Donna C. Kurtz, John Boardman, Greek Burial Customs (London 1971); Ludolf Malten, "Leichenspiel und Totenkult", Röm. Mitt. 38/39 (1923/24) 300-340; Karl Meuli, "Der Ursprung der Olympischen Spiele", Die Antike 17 (1941) 189-208; Vassil Micoff, Le tombeau antique près de Kazanlak (Sofia 1954); Erwin Rohde, Psyche: Seelenkult und Unsterblichkeitsglaube der Griechen I (Freiburg 1898); Lynn Emrich Roller, Funeral Games in Greek Literature, Art and Life (Univ. of Pennsylvania, 1977); M.M. Willcock, The Iliad of Homer II (London, 1984).

2. See Malten, "Leichenspiel"; Meuli, "Olymp. Spiele".
cian funerary monuments may well have reference to such games. I propose the same interpretation for two scenes painted in the so-called "Kazanlak" tomb.

I.

This is how Herodotos describes the funerary customs of the Thracians and, specifically, of wealthy individuals (5.8):

ταφαὶ δὲ τοῖσι εὐδαιμοσὶ αὐτῶν εἰσὶ αἰδεὶ τρεῖς μὲν ἡμέρας προτιθείσι τὸν νεκρὸν καὶ παντοῖα σφαξάντες ἵρῃα εὐωχέονται, προκλαύσαντες πρὸτον ἐπείτα δὲ θάπτουσι κατακαύσαντες ἕ ἄλλως γῇ κρύψαντες, χώμα δὲ χέαιντες ἄγωνα τιθείσι παντοῖον, ἐν τῷ τά μέγιστα ἑσθλὰ τίθεται κατὰ λόγον μουνομαχίας. ταφαὶ μὲν δὴ Ῥηήκων εἰσὶ αὐτὰ.

The author begins with the pre-burial rites, goes on to the mode of burial, and concludes with the activities immediately following and forming part of the burial ceremony. The former rites are: 1) the prothesis (προτιθείσι τὸν νεκρὸν), i.e. the lying in state of the dead after he has been laid out; 2) a feast (εὐωχέονται) preceded by the slaughter of "sacrificial" victims (ἵρῃα); and 3) the lamentation for the deceased (προκλαύσαντες), i.e. the obligatory rite of mourning the dead.

It is a well-known fact that the Greek rite of prothesis aimed at providing an opportunity for family and friends to pay their last respects to the dead and to perform the traditional lament. The Thracian custom described by the verb προτιθημῖ served the same purpose as the participle προκλαύσαντες suggests. The family of the deceased left the dead lying in state for three days, τρεῖς μὲν ἡμέρας προτιθείσι τὸν νεκρὸν, during which time they slaughtered a variety of animals for a feast evidently attended by the assembled relatives and friends of the dead, καὶ παντοῖα

3. For the meaning of προτιθήμι/αι νεκρὸν (= to lay out a dead body, let it lie in state), see LSJ s.v. προτιθῆμι 11. The word for the dressing or shrouding of a corpse is περιστέλλω which occurs twice in Herodotos, at 2.90.1 and 6.30.2; it has a narrower sense since the act it describes in these two passages is not followed by the prothesis of the dead.

4. See Powell's Lexicon s.v. ἱρῆνον.

5. I have not been able to consult E. Reiner, "Die rituelle Totenkla ge der Griechen", Tübinger Beiträge zur Altertumswissenschaft 30 (1938).

σφάξαντες ἰρήνα εὐωχέονται; before doing so, however, they first performed a ritual lament, προκλαύσαντες πρῶτον. As the preposition προ- of προκλαύω implies, this lament was "preliminary", that is the dead was lamented again during the burial ceremony. And since the prothesis lasted for three days, the burial of the dead must have occurred on the fourth day.8

Herodotos speaks of two modes of burial: the Thracians either burned the corpse and buried its remains in the earth, θάπτουσι κατακαύσαντες, or they simply interred it, ἡ ἄλλως γῆ κρύψαντες.9 In other words, cremation was practiced or alternatively the body was inhumated. In either case, they raised a mound over the grave and held nearby a variety of athletic contests, χώμα δὲ χέαντες ἁγῶνα τίθεται παντοῖον. At the competition in question, the greatest prizes were set for the duel, because of its importance, ἐν τῷ τὰ μέγιστα ἄεθλα τίθεται κατὰ λόγον μουνομαχίας.10

Funeral games with individual competitions like boxing and wrestling are often attested for the Greeks,11 but fights in armor, as suggested by the word μουνομαχίας, are less frequent. The only extant literary description of funeral games including this sort of duel goes back to the Iliad. After the burial of Patroklos was complete, the Achaeans constructed a tumulus and celebrated games, among which there was a duel fought by armed warriors. The burial mound mentioned by Herodotos in our description of the Thracian rituals, as well as the contests and the μουνομαχίας, recall the tumulus over Patroklos’ grave and the games in his honor involving the duel in armor. But these are not the only similarities. In fact, not only the practices following the burial of the Thracians, but also the mode of burial (cremation)

7. Ritual lamentation was performed both at the house of mourning and at the grave, see D. Wachsmuth in Kleine Pauly 5 (1975) col. 898. Προκλαύω, like προαφάστω or προγράφω, denotes the "preliminary" act described by the second part of the word.
8. The Greek prothesis occurred on the day after death, and the burial was enacted on the third day, see Kurtz-Boardman 144f.
9. The first to understand the precise meaning of θάπτουσι κατακαύσαντες ἡ ἄλλως γῆ κρύψαντες is A. Semenov, Wochenschrift für Klass. Philol. 52 (1911) 1429f.: "die Thraker verbrannten die Toten und begruben dann die unverbrannten Reste, oder aber: sie begruben einfach den Toten, ohne ihn vorher zu verbrennen." But his correction of ἄλλως to ἄπλως is not necessary. ἄλλως here means "merely"; see Powell’s Lexicon s.v.
10. For the proper interpretation of this phrase, see H. Stein, Herodotos III (Berlin 1894, reprint ed. 1963) 9, ad 5f.
and the rites performed prior to burial resemble the manner in which Patroklos was buried and the honors paid to him before the ekphora. A close comparison of the relevant texts should persuade us. Before doing so, however, I shall first discuss the Homeric passages in which the funerary rites in question occur.

II.

The pre-burial rites for Patroklos began at night,12 inside Achilleus' tent. There Patroklos' friends (hetairoi) first washed and groomed him (18.343ff.). Then they laid the dead on a bier and shrouded him from head to foot with a sheet and a mantle, i.e. they enacted the rite of prothesis (18.352ff.):13

ἐν λεχέεσσι δὲ θέντες ἐανῷ λιτὶ κάλυψαν
ἐς πόδας ἐκ κεφαλῆς, καθύπερθε δὲ φάρει λευκῷ.

The dead was bewailed overnight (18.354ff.). On the next morning, he still lay on the bier, turned towards the entrance of Achilleus' tent, with his friends weeping around him (19.211-13):

δὲ (i.e. Patroklos) μοι ἐνι κλισὶ δεδαιγήμενος δεξὶ χαλκῷ
κεῖται ἀνὰ πρόθυρον τετραμμένος, ἀμφὶ δ' ἐταῖροι
μῦρονται.

Patroklos was left to lie there throughout that day and the following night.14 During the day, the Achaeans fought with the Trojans, and Achilleus killed Hektor. After the battle was over, all the Achaeans were dismissed with the exception of the Myrmidon, i.e. the compatriots—of Patroklos (23.1ff.). Achilleus urged them to drive their steeds close to the dead in order to mourn him, while still mounted and in their armor. And he reminded them that lamentation was an honor paid to the dead (γέρας ... θανόντων, 23.9). After doing so they were to unharness and all partake of a meal there.

The manner of lamenting the dead is described in the next seven lines (23.12-18):

12. The sun sets at II. 18.239ff., i.e. right after Achilleus receives the dead who is carried, from the battlefield, on a litter.
13. For the Homeric prothesis, see Andronikos, Totenkult W 7-9. Of the two technical terms denoting the laying out and leaving of the dead to lie in state, i.e. προσθημι and περιστέλλω, only the latter appears in Homeric epic (Od. 24.293).
The Myrmidones cried out all together, and with Achilleus leading the way, they drove their horses three times around the dead while weeping. Then Thetis stirred them to sing a sorrowful dirge (γόος). Among them Achilleus began to sing a melancholy song while placing his hands on Patroklos’ breast. The phrase γέρας θανόντων (23.9), the word γόος,15 the description of the mourners as a choir (δόμωξαν δόλλες) led by Achilleus (ήρχε δ' 'Αχιλλεύς/Πηλείδης ...έξηρχε γόοιο) and Achilleus’ placing his hands on the dead’s breast indicate that this lamentation, which takes place immediately before the partaking of the meal, is a “ritual” act.

The lamentation of the Myrmidones was followed by the feast that Achilleus offered to them after they removed their arms and unyoked their horses (23.29-34):

αὐτάρ ὁ τοίσι τάφον μενοεικέα δαίνυ
πολλοὶ μὲν βόες ἄργοι ὀρέχθεον ἀμφὶ σιδήρῳ
σφαξόμενοι, πολλοὶ δ'δῖες καὶ μηκάδες, αίγες
πολλοὶ δ'ἀργιῶδοντες δρες, θαλέθοντες ἀλοιφῇ,
εὐδόμενοι ταῦτον δία φλωγὸς Ἡραίστοιο
πάντι δ'ἀμφὶ νέκυν κοτυλήρυτον ἐρρεεν αἴμα.

This repast is called a τάφον16 μενοεικέα, i.e. an “abundant funeral feast”. Its description focuses on: 1) the slaughter of three different kinds of animals (bulls, sheep and goats); 2) the singeing of the bristles of slaughtered boars over the fire, and 3) the offer to the dead of the animals’ blood, which was collected in a cup and poured on the ground around the dead. It is evident that this meal, at which animals are slaughtered and singed, is primarily an occasion for “sacrificing” the animals’ blood to the dead.

15. Its actual meaning is that of the “kunstlosen, von den Hinterbliebenen angestimmten rituellen Totenklage”, see Reiner (above, n. 5) 5 with n. 6 (cited by Andronikos, Totenkult W 12).
16. “Epulum funebre”, see Ebeling’s Lexicon, s.v. 1) τάφος.
On the next day, i.e. the second day after Patroklos’ death, the dead was carried out to be cremated. When they reached the appointed spot, the Myrmidones and the other Achaean’s performed a lament which lasted nearly until sunset (23.164f.):

ποίησαν δὲ πυρην ἐκατόμπεδον ἐνθα καὶ ἐνθα, ἐν δὲ πυρῇ ὑπάτῃ νεκρών θέαν ἄχνυμενοι κηρ.

The actual burial took place on the next day, i.e. the third day (23.252-56):

κλαίοντες δ’ ἐτάρσω δεκαενθαλ χλεύκα ἄλλειον ἐς χρυσὴν χιλικα διπλακα δημον, ἐν κλοιθηὶ δὲ θέντες ἐανῷ λιτή κάλυψαν τορωσαντο δε σήμα χειρελία τε προβάλοντο ἀμφυ πυρην’ εἴθαρ δὲ χυτῆν ἐπὶ γαίαν ἔχεον.

The Achaeans collected the unburnt remains of the corpse (the bones) in a golden phiale which they placed, after covering it with a linen sheet, in the so-called κλοιοθήσι. Then “they made round the place of the tomb and put out a circle of stones at the base”. Finally, they raised a mound by piling up earth.

When the burial was over, and before the Achaeans scattered, Achilles organized many funeral games (23.257f.):

αὐτῶρ Ἀχιλλεὺς οὐδ’ λαὸν ἔρυκε καὶ Ιξανεὶν εὐρύν ἄγωνα

There were eight different sorts of events (23.262-897) which were held close to the burial spot. The prizes were spoils of war, and

---

17. See II. 23.109f.
19. In the Homeric epics the word κλοιοθήσι is always used for “small” structures intended for “impermanent” or periodic occupation, like a soldier’s hut or herdsmen’s cottage, see Mary O. Knox, “Huts and Farm Buildings in Homer”, CQ new ser. 21 (1971) 27-31. It has always been thought that the κλοιοθήσι here refers to the tent of Achilles, but it is preferable to assume that it signifies some sort of “small” tomb structure of “temporary” character; cf. P. Thielerscher’s (Philol. Wochenschrift 33/34 (1937) 958-60) suggestion that κλοιοθήσι refers to the “Totenkammer”. In epigraphical texts, the κλοιοθήσι is occasionally used to denote a “grave”, see LSJ s.v. κλοιοθήσι Α.
20. So Willcock, The Iliad 302, ad 255.
21. The end of the foot race is at the spot where Achilles had slaughtered the oxen for Patroklos, as suggested by II. 23.774f.
22. This is implied by several instances: see Asteropoi’s breastplate at 560; the silver crater at 741-47; the spear, shield and helmet of Sarpedon (798-800); the sword of Asteropoi (807f.); the throwing weight of Eition (826-29); and the female slaves set as prizes at 263, 704.
were awarded not only to the first and second victor but to all the competitors. The first four events (23.262-797) were among the favorite sports of the Greeks: chariot racing, boxing, wrestling and the foot-race. The duel (23.798-825), which is of special interest to us, is the first of the three \textsuperscript{24} "doubtful" events with difficulties of interpretation. \textsuperscript{25} It is a well-known fact that these latter events are "elaborations" added to the games. \textsuperscript{26} Willcock has shown, however, that the composition of the fight in armor and the other "doubtful" games is in harmony with the rest of the \textit{Iliad}. \textsuperscript{27} And he reminds us that the single combat is a common feature of funeral customs among which are involved the first gladiatorial exhibitions at Rome. Thus, from an antiquarian point of view, as Willcock rightly remarks, the duel in honor of Patroklos "is probably the most authentic of the events". Given that this is the only extant description of a duel held as a sporting event, such as the Thracian \textit{μουνομαχία}, it is worthwhile to examine the text more closely (23.798-825):

\ \textit{αὐτὰρ Πηλείδης κατὰ μὲν δολιχόσκιον ἔχος, θῆκ' ἐς ἄγκαν φέρων, κατὰ δ' ὀσπίδα καὶ τρυφάλειαν, τεύχεα Σαρπίδοντος, ἀ μιν Πάτροκλος ἀπηύρα.}

\textit{στῇ δ' ὁρθῶ καὶ μύθον ἐν Ἀργείουισι ἐσειπεν' ᾠδὴ χύπον ἀνεῖ ἄνδρε δῷ πρὶ τῶνε κελεύομεν, ὡ περ ἀρίστα, τεύχεα ἔσσαμεν, ταμεισίχροα χαλκὸν ἐλόντε, ἀλλ' ἐρχονται χάληνθηναι.}

\textit{δοπότερος κε φθῖσιν ὅξεμάνενος χρόα καλὸν, ψαύσῃ δ' ἐνδίνων διὰ τ' ἐνεα και μέλαν αἰμα, τῷ μὲν ἐγὼ δόσῳ τὸδε φάσαγον ἀργυρόπλοιν καλὸν Θρῆκιον, τὸ μὲν Ἀστεροπαίον ἀπηύρων τεύχεα δ' ἄμφοτεροι ξυνηία ταῦτα φερέσθον' καὶ φρίν δαίτ' ἀγαθήν παραθήσουμεν ἐν κλίσισιν".}

\textit{"Ὡς ἔφατ; ὅρτο δ' ἔπειτα μέγας Τελαμώνιος Αἴας, αὖ δ' ἀρα Τυδείδης ὅρτο, κρατερός Διομήδης. οἱ δ' ἔπει οὖν ἐκάτερθεν ὄμιλον θωρήχθησαν,}

\textsuperscript{23} With the exception of the discus throw (826-49) for which there is only a single prize (the \textit{solos}) for the victor.

\textsuperscript{24} The other two are the discus throw (826-49) and the archery contest (850-83).

\textsuperscript{25} Suspicions have been cast on them ever since the Alexandrian school. The problems are set out in several modern commentaries, see, for example, W. Leaf, \textit{The Iliad II} (London 1902) 528-33 (passim) and, recently, Willcock, \textit{The Iliad 310}.

\textsuperscript{26} See Karl F. Ameis, C. Hentze, \textit{Homer's Ilias II} (Leipzig 1906, reprint ed. 1965) 90, ad 798-825; G.S. Kirk, \textit{The Songs} 223.

\textsuperscript{27} See M.M. Willcock, \textit{The Iliad 310}, ad 798-883; 798-825.
Achilleus brought out and put down in the ring a long spear, a shield and a helmet, the armor which Patroklos had stripped from the body of Sarpedon. Then he stood up and addressed the Argives. He urged the two best warriors to arm themselves to compete in a duel with sharp weapons before the assembly. The fighter "who is (the) first of the two to get in a stroke at the other's fair body, to get through armour and dark blood and reach to the vitals" would be proclaimed victor. Achilleus would award him a magnificent silver-nailed Thracian sword that he had stripped from the body of Asteropaios. Both contestants, however, were to receive in common the armor of Sarpedon. Achilleus also promised to host a "fair" dinner (δαίτ' ἀγαθήν) for both men at his shelter.

Aias and Diomedes rose up to fight. They each armed themselves on one side of the assembly and then advanced, furious for combat and with dangerous looks, against one another, moving toward the centre, as wonder seized the Achaean. Three times Aias and Diomedes rushed upon each other and attacked at close quarters. Then Aias stabbed with his spear at Diomedes' shield, but did not get through to the skin, for his breastplate inside guarded him. In his turn, Diomedes kept constantly grazing the neck of Aias with the point of his spear over the top of the latter's shield. At this point, the Achaean, concerned for Aias, called for them to stop and divide the prizes.
Achilleus, however, carried forth the sword, with its scabbard and sword belt, and gave it to Diomedes. The condition set by Achilleus, "who is (the) first of the two to get in a stroke at the other’s fair body, to get through armour and dark blood and reach to the vitals", suggests that the duel was not meant to be a mock fight (σκιάμιαχια) — the victor must inflict some sort of wound on his opponent (if only a "superficial" one). The description of the actual fight not only confirms this point, but also implies that one of the two contestants (Aias) ran the risk of being seriously wounded or even killed. This is why the spectators rushed to put an end to this game. Thus, though the above condition had not been fully realized, Achilleus gave the first prize to Diomedes who evidently had the upper hand.

It has never been observed before that the prizes, which constitute nearly an entire set of armor (sword, spear, shield and helmet) are extraordinary, i.e. they are not common spoils of war. As we have seen, they are said to have been stripped off the Paeonian and Lycian leaders by Achilleus and Patroklos, respectively. The only other equivalent prize is the cuirass of Asteropaios, which similarly served as an "extraordinary" prize for Eumelos (23.560-62). The latter was a champion, but he failed to gain the first prize in the chariot race, because of an unexpected accident. To compensate him for his bad luck, Achilleus suggested he be given the second prize. Antilochos objected, however, and Achilleus resorted to another solution: he offered Eumelos the cuirass of Asteropaios, which had not been counted among the other prizes. The "fine" dinner at Achilleus' tent, δαίταγαθήν παραθήσωμεν ἐν κλησίησιν, is also an extraordinary honor. For those competing in the duel are the only contestants promised such a meal. Willcock, however, noticed that this sort of honor has an antecedent in the Iliad. At 7.314f. Agamemnon slaughtered a bull at his tent to celebrate the victory of Aias over Hektor (κεχαρπώτα κίκη, 312) in their single

32. Similarly at 23.736 Achilleus urges Aias and Odysseus to take the prizes "in equal division", ἀδήθυα δ’all’ἀνελόντες.
33. There is a precedent for this at Il. 7.303f.; Hektor carried his silver nailed sword, with its scabbard and sword belt, and gave it to Aias (δόθητος εἰρος ἀργυρόθλυν, καὶ ἐντυμητος τελεμάνη) who had proven to be his superior in the duel.
34. For the difficulties in the interpretation of line 806, see Leaf (above, n. 25) 528, ad loc.; cf. Ameis-Hentze (above, n. 26) 90, ad loc.
35. For the spoiling of Sarpedon and Asteropaios, see Il. 16.663-65; 21.183.
36. See Willcock, The Iliad 310, ad 810.
combat. At the subsequent meal, Agamemnon honored Aias with
νότοισιν (=back, 321), i.e. the best portion of the bull’s flesh.

Given that the chariot-race is the most important of the funeral
games in honor of Patroklos, the unprecedented prizes for the
fight in armor may sound odd. Indo-Europeaean parallels for this
sport indicate, however, that: 1) suits of armor of extraordinary
value, such as those worn by kings, were a common prize; 2) even
when the duel was a mock fight (σκιαμαχία), the contestants
occasionally ran the risk of being wounded or killed; and if those
present did not interfere, might actually kill one another.

The first point is attested for the Gauls by Polybios (3.62.5).
Hannibal set up a contest in armor for which the prizes were
“Gaulish suits of armor, such as their kings used to deck
themselves with when about to engage in single combat”:
πανοπλίας Γαλατικάς, οίας εἰώθασιν οἱ βασιλεῖς αὐτῶν, οὗτα
μονομαχεῖν μέλλοντι, κατακοσμοῦσαν. The contestants were
prisoners of war who fought in pairs for life or death. The second
point concerns the Celts. According to Poseidonios (ap. Ath.
154a-b), the Celts occasionally fought duels after dinner. They
assembled under arms, engaged in mock fights and made feints
at one another; but sometimes they proceeded even to the point
of wounding each other, and then, irritated by this, if those
present did not intervene to restrain them, they went so far as to
kill one another: ἐν γὰρ τοῖς ὀπλοῖς ἀγερθέντες σκιαμαχοῦσι καὶ
πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἀκροχείριζονται, ποτὲ δὲ καὶ μέχρι
τραύματος προίσαν καὶ ἐκ τούτου ἐρεθισθέντες, ἐὰν μὴ
ἐπισχῶσιν οἱ παρόντες, καὶ ἔως ἀναιρεσίας ἔχρονται.

It is evident that the prizes for this sport (suit/s of armor) were
of extraordinary value because of its particular importance; for,
unlike the other games, the duel involved the real danger of a
fatal result for one or both of the contestants.

III.

Let us now review the Homeric and Thracian funerary rites.
The practices performed prior to the ekphora of Patroklos as well
as the mode of burial and the mound over the tomb, beside which
funeral games involving a duel are held, all have parallels in the
rites described by Herodotos for the 5th-century B.C. wealthy
Thracians. The former practices began with the prothesis (ἐν
λεξέσσι δὲ θέντες / προτιθείσι τὸν νεκρόν) and ended with
an abundant feast (τάφων μενοικέα / εδωχέονται) for which many and various animals were slaughtered (πολλοί μὲν βόες ... σφαζόμενοι, πολλοὶ δ' οίες καὶ μηκάδες αίγες: πολλοὶ ... ὑες ... / καὶ παντοῖα σφάζαντες ἴρημα). In both cases, the feast was preceded by the preliminary ritual lament for the deceased (ὁμοίαν ἀκλέκς ... Θέτες γάου ἴμερον ὦσσε ... / προκλαυσάντες πρῶτον). As we see in Homer, the dead was lamented again during the funeral, immediately before the cremation of the corpse.

Thus far the only difference lies in the duration of the prothesis. Patroklos was left lying in state for a single day, at the end of which the Myrmidones held a funeral feast beside him, after they had first lamented him. The Thracian prothesis, however, lasted as long as three days. When exactly did the lamentation and the feast take place? My guess is that the relatives and friends of the deceased came for three days to lament him first; but, at the end of each day, they were entertained with a sumptuous meal in the presence of the dead.37

Herodotus calls the slaughtered animals ἴρημα, i.e. "sacrificial" victims, because they were evidently "sacrificed" to the dead. This sort of sacrifice is well illustrated in Homer. The blood of the victims was collected in a cup and poured on the ground all around the dead, as a "libation" or χοή:38 πάντη δ' ἀμφι νεκρὸν κοπολήρυτον ἔρρεεν αἷμα. This offering, which served as the dead's "portion" of the meal,39 aimed at "appeasing" his soul.40 The soul was thought to remain close to the body until the corpse was buried.41 As for the mourners' banquet beside the bier, this was actually their last supper with the deceased, before the body was cremated.

Moving now to the disposal of the body and the activities following, both the Myrmidones and the Thracians burned the dead and interred the unburnt remains. This procedure, which I

37. G. Kazarow's (RE² VI A [1936] col. 535) understanding that the feast was held after the dead had been lain in state and had been mourned for three days, is not supported by the text. The same is true for A.D. Godley's (Loeb) translation in which both the lamentation and the feast are placed after the three-day prothesis.

38. The libations "feeding" the dead are called χοῖα, see K. Hanell, RE² VI A (1937) s.v. Trankopfer, col. 2136.

39. As Rhode, Psyche 25 has remarked.

40. See ibid.

41. While the body of Patroklos lay in state his soul appeared to Achilleus who was weeping on the seashore, see II. 23.59ff.
have discussed in detail for Patroklos, is succinctly described by Herodotos as θάπτοντοι κατακαύσαντες. The Thracians, however, as we know from Herodotos, alternatively practiced inhumation ἡ ἀλλὰς γῆ κρύσαντες (i.e. θάπτοντοι). Of this latter custom there is no evidence in Homer. 42 It is worth noticing that inhumation is by far less expensive than cremation; 43 this may account for the alternative use of these two practices. Finally, both groups covered the tomb with a mound of earth (εἰθαρ δὲ χυτὴν ἐπὶ γαῖαν ἔχεαιν / χώμα δὲ χέαντες) and competed at many and various funeral games (εὐρῦν ἄγωνα / ἄγωνα τιθέοι παντοῖον) including a fight in armor. In both cases, the games followed directly after the funeral and formed part of the burial rites (αὐτοῦ λαὸν ἐρυκε καὶ ἵππαν ... ἄγωνα / χώμα δὲ χέαντες ἄγωνα τιθέοι).

With regard to the duel, the prizes for Aiias and Diomedes are weapons of heroes, and are coupled with a dinner. As I have argued, these prizes, plus the dinner, are extraordinary because of the risks run by the contestants. This explains why Herodotos says of the Thracian games that the fight in armor is given the greatest prizes κατὰ λόγον, i.e. “by reason of its importance”. Herodotos’ plural τὰ μέγιστα ἀεθαί further implies that the prizes were at least two, whether awarded to a single pair of fighters, as in Homer, or to several pairs of competitors. Naturally, not only the victors but all those competing at the Thracian funeral games must have been awarded prizes. This is a rule of funeral games, 44 of which the prizes serve primarily as “memorials” of the deceased. 45

Herodotos does not specify what the other Thracian funerary contests were, the ἄγωνα ... παντοῖον. There is, however, some later evidence for horse racing. At Hellenica 3.2.5, Xenophon reports that after the Thracian Odysseas returned to their camp, they buried their men, drank much wine in their memory, and held a horse race: ἐπεὶ μέντοι ἐπανήλθον οἱ Ὀδρύσαι, θάψαντες τοὺς ἑαυτῶν καὶ πολὺν οἶνον ἐκπόντες ἐπ’ αὐτῶς καὶ ἰππὸδρομίαι ποιήσαντες ... Given that the Thracians were

42. See Andronikos, Totenkult W 21f.
44. At the sixteenth-century A.D. funeral games in honor of a chieftain of the Kirghiz people ten prizes were set for the horse race, see Meuli, “Olymp.Spiele” 200.
45. Like the phiale given by Achilleus to Nestor at 23.618f.
known from Homer onward as ἵπποπόλοι (busied with horses), horse races must have been among the favorite Thracian games, and the same is very likely true for chariot races. The games in honor of Patroklos begin with a chariot race, which is the only equestrian event mentioned, but this may be due to the economy of the epic form; for among the Greeks, horse races were second only to chariot races.47

As in the case of Patroklos, the rites described by Herodotos concern only socially prominent Thracians, i.e. the wealthy: ταφαὶ δὲ τοῖς εὐδαιμοσι ἀυτῶν εἰσὶ αἰδε. From this group of Thracians should be excluded the Getae, the Trausi and the Thracians dwelling above the area inhabited by the Krestonaioi, whose customs (νόμοι) in general Herodotos distinguishes from those of all other Thracians: νόμοι δὲ σοῦ παραπλησίου πάντες (i.e. the Thracians) χρέωνται κατὰ πάντα, πλὴν Γετέων καὶ Τραυσὸν καὶ τῶν κατὰπερθε Κρηστωναίων οἰκεόντων (5.3.2). Of these, the Getae occupied northeastern Thrace, i.e. the area between the lower Danube and Mt. Haemus, while the Trausi dwelled in the central zone of the mountain range of Rhodope.48 As for the third group of Thracians, these must have dwelled to the north of Mt. Dysoros, on the Chersonese, which defined the northernmost point of the area occupied by the Krestonaioi, between the rivers Axios and Strymon.49 Herodotos further refers to the belief of the Getae in an afterlife and provides examples of funerary customs observed by the other two groups of Thracians. How much these customs differed from those of the rest of the Thracians is evident from what he says about the Trausi (5.4) who instead of lamenting the dead, buried them with laughter and rejoicing. Consequently, the funerary rites described by Herodotos at 5.8 were not universal to Thrace but confined to the area roughly defined by the Aegean sea, Mt. Rhodope and Mt. Haemus.

47. At Olympia, for example, the horse race was the second event after the chariot race, see E.N. Gardiner, Athletics of the Ancient World (Oxford 1930) 228.
48. For the former, see J. Weiss, in: RE VII, I (1912) cols. 1330-34; for the latter, see E. Oberhummer, RE VI A, 2 (1937) cols. 2245f.
49. For the area occupied by the Krestonaioi, see E. Oberhummer, RE XI, 2 (1922) col. 1718.
The fact that the Thracian funerary rites in question all have antecedents in the *Iliad* does not mean that in the lifetime of Herodotos, the Thracians and the Greeks shared similar customs. The Greek funerary practices of the Classical period were several centuries removed from those reflected in the Homeric epics. Among these latter practices, the funerary rites for Patroklos constitute a particular case because they contain several unique elements. Let me now note these peculiarities.

The *prothesis* of the illustrious dead in Homer always lasts longer than a week, the only exception being that of Patroklos. This may be due to the fact that Patroklos died during war time and was buried while the war was still going on. The sacrifices and the feast beside the dead are also unique. Elsewhere in Homer, the relatives’ meal at the house of the deceased is held after burial is over, and there is no mention of sacrifices. The sacrifices and the feast probably reflect some very old Greek customs. On the other hand, the ritual lamentation of the dead during the *prothesis*, as well as the cremation of the corpse and the burial of the remains in a tomb covered by a mound, are universal in Homer, even if descriptions of them differ in detail. The same is true for the funeral games, of which, however, only

---

50. For the date of composition of the *Iliad*, see below, n. 58.
51. Achilles and Hektor are laid out for 17 and 9 days, respectively, see *Od.* 24.63f. and *Il.* 24.784; cf. 664.
52. Hektor was also killed during wartime, but the war was interrupted for eleven days so that he could receive the funerary honors due to a prince, see *Il.* 24.660-70; 784ff.
53. The “feeding” of the dead, before the *ekphora*, with proper food (not just blood) and the feast beside him are attested for the Scythians (Her. 4.73.1); according to Lucian (*de lactu* 21), the Egyptians, after embalming the dead, made him their companion both in food and drink. For this widespread custom, see P. Sartori, “Die Speisung der Toten”, *Jahresbericht des Gymnasiums zu Dortmund* (1902-1903) 1-70. Representations of the *prothesis* on Geometric vases suggest that the feeding of the dead with food was also a very old Greek custom, see Emily Vermeule, *Aspects of Death in Early Greek Art and Poetry* (Berkeley, Los Angeles 1979) 17ff.
54. As in the case of Hektor’s funeral, see Andronikos, *Totenkult* W 16f.
55. As is suggested by a pseudo-Platonic (*Minos* 315e) passage: ὁσιω καὶ ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς ἀδελφοὺς καὶ αὐτός ἀκούων ὅτις νόμοις ἔχωμεν πρὸ τοῦ περὶ τοὺς ἀποθανόντας, ἵστατες τε προσφιλττόντες πρὸ τῆς ἱκάνων τοῦ νεκροῦ ... It is cited by W. Helbig who has argued that the feast in honor of Patroklos belongs to an old “aeolic” layer of the epic, see “Zu den homerischen Bestattungsgebrauchen”, *Sitzberichte d. philos.- u.d. histor. Klasse der Akademie der Wiss. zu München* (1900) 255-57; see also Andronikos’ (*Totenkult* W 16, n. 77; W 17, n. 83) comments.
those in honor of Patroklos contain a fight in armor. 57

Exactly when the Homeric epics were composed and written down is not known; 58 by the Classical period, however, most of the customs such as those recorded in honor of Patroklos or other heroes had changed or died out. The funerary ritual was now more simple. The prothesis regularly lasted one day, 59 during which time alone lamentation for the dead occurred. 60 Animal sacrifices, if there were any, were limited to the burial place, 61 and the relatives and friends shared a meal 62 at the house of the deceased not during the prothesis but after burial was over. As to the cremation of the corpse and the burial of its remains in a tomb covered with a mound, the observance of this practice occasionally continued in the Classical period, either for individuals or for the mass burials of those who had died in battle. 63 Funeral games, however, were no longer held by aristocratic families as they had been in the earlier period. 64 They were, instead, organised by the state in honor of groups 65 of people or exceptional individuals who had died in war. These games usually formed part of a memorial cult and consisted of equestrian, athletic and musical contests from which, however, the fight in armor was absent.

It is apparent that Herodotos recorded the Thracian funerary rites in question because they diverged from the customs to which he and his audience were accustomed. In spite of significant differences however, they remained akin to the prevalent Greek

57. The others are in honor of Amarynkeus (Il. 23.630-44), Oidipous (Il. 23.679f.), and Achilleus (Od. 24.58-92); only the events held in the first instance are mentioned by name. The fight in armor is also missing from the descriptions of several other funeral games known from poetry or art, see Malen, "Leichenspiel" 307-309 (with notes).

58. I follow G.S. Kirk (The Songs) 287, in accepting, like others, the 8th century B.C. as "the probable date of composition of the Iliad". The games in honor of Patroklos were formerly thought to be a later addition to the Iliad, but Kirk (The Songs 316ff. and, especially, 334) argued that the text of the Iliad at the end of the eighth century B.C. was essentially the same as what we have today.

59. See Kurtz-Boardman, Burial Customs 144.

60. The performance of lamentation outside the house was banned by laws, see ibid. 144f.; 200f.

61. In the 5th-c. B.C. law from Iulis in Keos there is a provision concerning the 'preliminary' slaughter of a victim (προισωφάγους) at the grave, see IG 12(5) 593.12.

62. The so-called περιπεινοῦν, see Kurtz-Boardman, Burial Customs 146.

63. See ibid. 105f.; 247f.

64. See Roller, Funeral Games 17f.

65. See ibid. 24ff.

66. Such as founders or benefactors of Greek cities, see Roller, Funeral Games 18f.
The fact that the Thracian funerary rites in question all have antecedents in the *Iliad* does not mean that in the lifetime of Herodotos, the Thracians and the Greeks shared similar customs. The Greek funerary practices of the Classical period were several centuries removed from those reflected in the Homeric epics. Among these latter practices, the funerary rites for Patroklos constitute a particular case because they contain several unique elements. Let me now note these peculiarities.

The *prothesis* of the illustrious dead in Homer always lasts longer than a week, the only exception being that of Patroklos. This may be due to the fact that Patroklos died during war time and was buried while the war was still going on. The sacrifices and the feast beside the dead are also unique. Elsewhere in Homer, the relatives’ meal at the house of the deceased is held after burial is over, and there is no mention of sacrifices. The sacrifices and the feast probably reflect some very old Greek customs. On the other hand, the ritual lamentation of the dead during the *prothesis*, as well as the cremation of the corpse and the burial of the remains in a tomb covered by a mound, are universal in Homer, even if descriptions of them differ in detail. The same is true for the funeral games, of which, however, only

50. For the date of composition of the *Iliad*, see below, n. 58.
51. Achilleus and Hektor are laid out for 17 and 9 days, respectively, see *Od*. 24.63ff. and II. 24.784; cf. 664.
52. Hektor was also killed during wartime, but the war was interrupted for eleven days so that he could receive the funerary honors due to a prince, see *Il*. 24.660-70; 784ff.
53. The “feeding” of the dead, before the *ekphora*, with proper food (not just blood) and the feast beside him are attested for the Scythians (Her. 4.73.1); according to Lucian (*de luctu 21*), the Egyptians, after embalming the dead, made him their companion both in food and drink. For this widespread custom, see P. Sartori, “Die Speisung der Toten”, Jahresbericht des Gymnasiums zu Dortmund (1902-1903) 1-70. Representations of the *prothesis* on Geometric vases suggest that the feeding of the dead with food was also a very old Greek custom, see Emily Vermeule, *Aspects of Death in Early Greek Art and Poetry* (Berkeley, Los Angeles 1979) 17ff.
54. As in the case of Hektor’s funeral, see Andronikos, Totenkult W 16f.
55. As is suggested by a pseudo-Platonic (*Minos 315c*) passage: ὥσπερ καὶ ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς οἰσθάτῳ που καὶ αὐτὸς ἀκούον ὡμίνως ἕχομεν ἐκράματα πρὸ τοῦ περὶ τοῦ ἀποθανόντος, ἵστατε τὰ προσφάττοντες πρὸ τῆς ἐκφορᾶς τοῦ νεκροῦ ... It is cited by W. Heibig who has argued that the feast in honor of Patroklos belongs to an old “aëolic” layer of the epic, see “Zu den homerischen Bestattungsgebräuchen”, Sitzbericht d. philos.- u. d. histor. Klasse der Akademie der Wiss. zu München (1900) 255-57; see also Andronikos’ (Totenkult W 16, n. 77; W 17, n. 83) comments.
56. See Andronikos, Totenkult W 21-37.
those in honor of Patroklos contain a fight in armor.\textsuperscript{57}

Exactly when the Homeric epics were composed and written down is not known;\textsuperscript{58} by the Classical period, however, most of the customs such as those recorded in honor of Patroklos or other heroes had changed or died out. The funerary ritual was now more simple. The \textit{prothesis} regularly lasted one day,\textsuperscript{59} during which time alone lamentation for the dead occurred.\textsuperscript{60} Animal sacrifices, if there were any, were limited to the burial place,\textsuperscript{61} and the relatives and friends shared a meal\textsuperscript{62} at the house of the deceased not during the \textit{prothesis} but after burial was over. As to the cremation of the corpse and the burial of its remains in a tomb covered with a mound, the observance of this practice occasionally continued in the Classical period, either for individuals or for the mass burials of those who had died in battle.\textsuperscript{63} Funeral games, however, were no longer held by aristocratic families as they had been in the earlier period.\textsuperscript{64} They were, instead, organised by the state in honor of groups\textsuperscript{65} of people or exceptional individuals who had died in war.\textsuperscript{66} These games usually formed part of a memorial cult and consisted of equestrian, athletic and musical contests from which, however, the fight in armor was absent.

It is apparent that Herodotos recorded the Thracian funerary rites in question because they diverged from the customs to which he and his audience were accustomed. In spite of significant differences however, they remained akin to the prevalent Greek

\textsuperscript{57} The others are in honor of Amarynkeus (\textit{II}. 23.630-44), Oidipous (\textit{II}. 23.679f.), and Achilleus (\textit{Od}. 24.58-92); only the events held in the first instance are mentioned by name. The fight in armor is also missing from the descriptions of several other funeral games known from poetry or art, see Malten, “Leichenspiel” 307-309 (with notes).

\textsuperscript{58} I follow G.S. Kirk (\textit{The Songs}) 287, in accepting, like others, the 8th century B.C. as “the probable date of composition of the \textit{Iliad}”. The games in honor of Patroklos were formerly thought to be a later addition to the \textit{Iliad}, but Kirk (\textit{The Songs} 316ff. and, especially, 334) argued that the text of the \textit{Iliad} at the end of the eighth century B.C. was essentially the same as what we have today.

\textsuperscript{59} See Kurtz-Boardman, \textit{Burial Customs} 144.

\textsuperscript{60} The performance of lamentation outside the house was banned by laws, see ibid. 144f.; 200f.

\textsuperscript{61} In the 5th-c. B.C. law from Iulis in Keos there is a provision concerning the “preliminary” slaughter of a victim (προοφάγιον) at the grave, see IG 12(5) 593.12.

\textsuperscript{62} The so-called περιβεμπτον, see Kurtz-Boardman, \textit{Burial Customs} 146.

\textsuperscript{63} See ibid. 105f.; 247f.

\textsuperscript{64} See Roller, \textit{Funeral Games} 17f.

\textsuperscript{65} See ibid. 24ff.

\textsuperscript{66} Such as founders or benefactors of Greek cities, see Roller, \textit{Funeral Games} 18f.
practices. This is why Herodotos described them by using technical terms familiar to the Greeks, like προτιθησι and προκλαύσαντες.67

However, funeral games including a fight in armor, as well as the alternating practices of cremation (plus burial of the remains) with inhumation, and the building of a burial mound over the tomb were in fashion in 4th-century B.C. Macedonia.68 Alexandros organized funeral games in honor of his companion Hephaiston and the Indian philosopher Kalanos; and when he died, he was buried in accordance with Macedonian funerary practice, νόμο τῶν Μακεδόνων (Paus. 1.6.3) and was honored with similar games.69 A duel is attested for the games in honor of Philippos Arridaios, Eurydike and Kynna, who were buried by Kassandros at Aegae: καὶ μονομαχίας ἀγώνα ἔθηκεν, εἰς δὲ κατέβησαν τέσσαρες τῶν στρατιωτῶν (Diylos ap. Ath. 155a).70 The duel was fought not by Macedonian nobles but by four of Kassandros’ soldiers. Evidently there were two pairs of contestants. The partitive genitive τῶν στρατιωτῶν suggests that they came from the ranks of the Macedonian army which must have attended the games in honor of the Macedonian royalty.71

Depictions of duels fought by two pairs of soldiers, occasionally accompanied by chariot races and horse races, occur on late archaic objects of exclusive funerary use, the so-called Clazomenian sarcophagi.72 Several scholars have interpreted these scenes as funeral games73 which appear, in vase painting, as early as the geometric period.74 Chariot races or chariots alone painted on the

67. In a technical, funerary sense the verbs προτιθησι and προκλαύσαντες first occur in 5th-century authors (Herodotos applies them to the rites of the Thracians alone), see LSJ, s.v. προτιθησι II, προκλαύσαντες II.
68. For the latter practices, see Kurtz-Boardman 273ff. and the sensational recent discovery of the royal tombs at Vergina: M. Andronicos, Vergina: The Royal Tombs and the Ancient City (Athens 1984) 55ff.; see also 31-37.
69. See Roller, Funeral Games 21ff. and nn. 57-59 (sources).
70. The funeral games are also mentioned by Diodoros, see below, n. 71.
71. It is interesting that Diodoros (19.52.5) mentions the funeral games in connection with Kassandros’ enrollment of Macedonians for his campaign into the Peloponnesse: τιμήσας δὲ τοὺς τετελευτηκότας ἐπιτάφιοις ἀγώνα κατέγραφε τῶν Μακεδόνων τοὺς εὐθέτους, διεγεύσας εἰς Πελοπόννησον στρατεύειν.
72. Discussed by Malten, „Leichenspiel” 312-14.
73. See ibid. (with earlier bibliography); see also Gardiner (above, n. 47) 25; F. Hölscher, Die Bedeutung archaischer Tierkampfbilder (Würzburg 1972) 47ff. R.M. Cook (Clazomenian Sarcophagi [Mainz/Rhine 1981] 115f.), on the contrary, believes that the intention of these scenes is primarily decorative and that there is not enough evidence to support their interpretation as “funerary” games.
74. See Andronicos, Totenkult W 121-26 and pls. 10, 11.
interior of Macedonian\textsuperscript{75} and Thracian\textsuperscript{76} tombs may well imply the continuity of this tradition through the Hellenistic period. The so-called Kazanlak tomb provides us with a good example of a chariot race\textsuperscript{77} involving three bigae which are separated by pillars.\textsuperscript{78} It adorns the cupola of the funerary chamber and below it there is the representation of a funerary banquet. In the ante-chamber of the same tomb there are two unique scenes which, I think, also refer to funeral games. They are painted in two narrow friezes running along either side of the gabled ceiling.\textsuperscript{79} The central portion of each frieze shows a pair of soldiers confronting each other, each of whom are similarly flanked by groups of horsemen and foot soldiers. The warriors in these groups are spectators and are occasionally seen moving towards the center.

The paintings in question are usually interpreted as battle scenes illustrating the diplomatic and military activity of the owner of the tomb.\textsuperscript{80} The central episode of the east frieze is thought to be a "negotiation" scene,\textsuperscript{81} while that on the west frieze is certainly a duel between a pair of soldiers. Micoff, in particular, attempted to identify the forces on the southern half of each frieze as Thracian.\textsuperscript{82}

The above interpretation, however, meets with several difficulties. In the first place, there is no distinction to be seen

\textsuperscript{75} A chariot race run by 21 two-horse chariots (= bigae) is shown in the frieze running around the upper part of the walls that enclose the ante-chamber of the so-called Prince’s Tomb; it constitutes the only pictorial decoration of the tomb’s interior, see M. Andronicos (above, n. 68) 202, 206 and pls. 166-68; the tomb is dated to the last quarter of the 4th c. B.C., see p.224. Chariots are also painted in the pannels decorating the legs of a sarcophagus situated in a later Macedonian tomb, see ibid., p.35 and pls. 13f.

\textsuperscript{76} A frieze showing a chariot race is on the upper part of the walls inside a tomb excavated in the plain of Kazanlak, near Mâgîs; the monument has not yet been officially published; it is mentioned by I. Venedikov, T. Gerassimov, \textit{Thrakische Kunst}, p.58 and pl. 77 (see also p.337, n. 77); it is dated to the 3rd c. B.C.


\textsuperscript{78} Similar pillars appear in the chariot races shown on the Clazomenian sarcophagi, see Cook (above, n. 73) 115.

\textsuperscript{79} See Micoff, Kazanlak 8, 15-17 and pls. XXXVf.; Vassiliev (above, n. 77) 11f. and 17-19; Jivkova, Kazanlak 49-54 and pls. 14-19.

\textsuperscript{80} With the exception of Venedikov-Gerassimov (above, n. 76) 57, who speak of "kriegerische Tänze in zwei vielfigirigen Kompositionen".

\textsuperscript{81} See Micoff, Kazanlak 15.

\textsuperscript{82} See ibid. 15f.; cf. Hoddinott’s (above, n. 77) 100 comments.
among the warriors from either half of the friezes. They are all
dressed and armed in a similar manner (the central pairs in-
cluded); they carry light weapons and one of them is shown without
any helmet.\footnote{83} Secondly, only a single pair of warriors is engaged
in combat, i.e. those in the middle of the west frieze. The others
are all watching or, occasionally, moving towards the centre.
Such a representation of a battle scene is unprecedented. Finally,
the two soldiers in the middle of the east frieze advance towards
each other not in a friendly fashion, as it has been thought, but
ready to engage in combat. This is why they both wear helmets
and carry their entire panoply: a long curved sword, which they
stretch forth in the right hand, two spears and a shield in the left
hand. In fact, these are the only warriors shown with a complete
suit of armor, i.e. helmet, shield, two spears and a sword. It is
evident that they carry all the weapons with which they are about
to fight a duel, i.e. a μονομαχία.\footnote{84} The slightly opened lips of the
warrior on the left support this interpretation. He is challenging
his opponent to a fight. Ever since Homer, this is the usual
prelude to a duel.\footnote{85} On the other hand, the soldiers in the middle
of the west frieze are shown just before the end of the duel. For
the soldier on the right has fallen on his knees and raises his shield
to protect himself from the blow of his opponent. The duel is
apparently coming to an end.

If my interpretation of the two central scenes is correct, the
subject presented is an ἄγων μονομαχίας engaged in by two pairs
of warriors, one on the east frieze and one on the west. The two
pairs are shown at two differing phases of their ἄγων. The duel
on the east frieze is about to begin: the contestants advance
against one another while brandishing their weapons and are
ready to engage in combat at close quarters. On the west frieze
the duel is close to an end: the defeated warrior has fallen to his
knees and is trying to protect himself from the blow of his oppo-
nent. The attendance of the ἄγων μονομαχίας by groups of other
soldiers should not surprise us. As I have pointed out above, the
funeral games in honor of the Macedonian royalty were attended

\footnote{83} According to the description of Jivkova (Kăzănlik 51), this is the footsoldier in the
southern half of the west frieze.

\footnote{84} Duels usually began with the use of the spear and ended with the sword, see, for
example, the Homeric duel at \textit{Il.} 7.244ff. Fighting with two spears is characteristic of
duels illustrating funerary games in Italy, see Malten, "Leichenspiel" 326f., and pls. 16f.

\footnote{85} See for example \textit{Il.} 7.226-43.
by the entire army, from the ranks of which came the two pairs of soldiers competing in the duel. Armed warriors are also shown in pictorial representations of funeral games. In view of this, it seems very likely that the Kazanlak frescoes in question refer to the sort of ἀγὼν μουνομαχίας attested by Herodotos. After all, this was the most important of all Thracian funerary contests.

86. See the Clazomenian sarcophagi discussed by Malten, "Leichenspiel" 312-4.
87. An earlier version of this paper was presented at a seminar in the Center of Greek and Roman Antiquity of the National Hellenic Research Foundation. I am grateful to all those whose questions and suggestions have enabled me to improve it, and, in particular, Professor M.B. Sakellariou, Dr M.B. Hatzopoulos and Dr C. Koukouli-Chrysanthaki, ephor of Komoténé.